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#### Abstract

We consider the dispersionless limit of the discrete KP hierarchy. We describe a family of $N$-parameter 'waterbag' reductions of the hierarchy and give sufficient conditions for the reduced equations of motion to be hyperbolic. Using Geogdzhaev's method, we solve the initial value problem for the reduced system. The solution is implicit, in generalized hodograph form (Tsarev S P 1985 Sov. Math.-Dokl. 31 488). The relationship of this form of the solution to the properties of the hierarchy, the reduction and the initial data is discussed briefly, indicating how this construction might be generalized.


## 1. Introduction

In recent years, numerous investigations have been made into integrable systems and their generalizations. One of the most studied is the discrete KP, or generalized Toda equations $[2,3,12,13]$. This hierarchy $[2,3,12]$ has the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{n}}+\left[D_{n}, L\right]=0 \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ and $D_{n}$ are difference operators defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& L=\Delta+b_{0}+b_{1} \Delta^{-1}+b_{2} \Delta^{-2}+\cdots \\
& \Delta=\exp \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial m}\right) \quad D_{n}=\left(\frac{L^{n}}{n}\right)_{+} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\Delta$ is the unit shift operator such that $\Delta g(m, t)=g(m+1, t), g: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, while ( $)_{+}$ denotes the polynomial part in $\Delta$. The discrete KP equations are the consistency conditions for the following spectral equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \varphi=\lambda \varphi \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t_{n}}=D_{n} \varphi \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This system is Hamiltonian and integrable. It also admits many different reductions. The usual Toda system is a good example of this [14, 15]; it is the $t_{1}$ flow in (1) with the restriction, invariant under the dynamics, that $b_{i}=0$ for $i \geqslant 2$. There are many other types of reduction of the hierarchy (2), for instance with $L=\Delta+Q\left(1-\Delta^{-1}\right)^{-1} R$, where $Q$ and $R$ are row and column vectors. If $Q, R$ are scalars, this is equivalent to the relativistic Toda chain [8]. In this paper we consider a particular limit of (1), the dispersionless limit or long-wave continuum limit, and a family of reductions of it. The outline of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we will discuss some properties of the dispersionless discrete KP hierarchy ( $\mathrm{d} \Delta \mathrm{KP}$ ), and then its
relation with an analogous system, the Benney hierarchy. In section 3, a family of reductions of this system is investigated in detail. Its initial value problem and solutions will be discussed in section 4.

## 2. The dispersionless discrete KP hierarchy

In order to obtain the $\mathrm{d} \Delta \mathrm{KP}$ hierarchy, we take the formal semiclassical limit of (1). Under this limit, $\frac{\partial}{\partial m}$ is replaced by $p$ and the commutator is replaced by the Poisson bracket with respect to $p$ and $x$. Thus, the spectral problem becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\mathrm{e}^{p}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_{k} \mathrm{e}^{-k p} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The hierarchy (1) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t_{n}}+\left\{H_{n}, \lambda\right\}_{p, x}=0 \quad H_{n}=\left(\frac{\lambda^{n}}{n}\right)_{+} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\}_{p, x} \text { denotes the Poisson bracket with respect to } p \text { and } x \text {, and ( }\right)_{+}$denotes the part polynomial in $\mathrm{e}^{p}$.

We can see that these continuum limits are always systems of hydrodynamic type, that is, systems of the form $\left(v_{i}\right)_{t}=M_{i}^{j}\left(v_{j}\right)_{x}$, where the $M_{i}^{j}$ are functions of $v$. Such systems with two dependent variables were solved by Riemann [17] using the hodograph transformation. Tsarev [16] showed how this result could be generalized to finitely many, $N$ say, dependent variables; if a system of hydrodynamic type is Hamiltonian and is diagonalizable, it can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \lambda_{i}}{\partial t_{n}}+\mu_{i} \frac{\partial \lambda_{i}}{\partial x}=0 \quad i=1, \ldots, N . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

With these $N$ Riemann invariants $\lambda_{i}$, and corresponding characteristic speeds $\mu_{i}$, then it has a solution in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x-\mu_{i} t_{n}=w_{i} \quad i=1, \ldots, N \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $w_{i}$ are the characteristic speeds of any commuting flow of (6). These $w_{i}$ satisfy a system of $N(N-1)$ overdetermined linear equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial_{i} w_{k}}{w_{i}-w_{k}}=\Gamma_{k i}^{k}=\frac{\partial_{i} v_{k}}{v_{i}-v_{k}} \quad \partial_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{i}} \quad i \neq k \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the $\Gamma_{k i}^{k}$ are the Christoffel symbols of the Riemannian metric associated with the Hamiltonian structure of (6). Provided that the system (6) is hyperbolic, so the speeds $\mu_{i}$ are real and distinct, and that it is not linearly degenerate (that is, $\partial \mu_{i} / \partial \lambda_{i} \neq 0, i=1, \ldots, N$ ), then the solutions of (7) will satisfy (6), which is parametrized by the solutions of (8). These depend on $N$ functions of one variable.

In this paper, we will look at a special reduction of the $\mathrm{d} \Delta \mathrm{KP}$ hierarchy (5); by using the method introduced in [5] and the ideas in [9], we transform the reduced system to a diagonal system of hydrodynamic type, and then, by constructing a canonical transformation, find the solution of the initial value problem in the generalized hodograph form.

The dispersionless hierarchy (5) can be represented as a family of Vlasov equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_{n}}+\left\{H_{n}, f\right\}=0 \quad f=f(x, p, \boldsymbol{t}) \quad \boldsymbol{t}=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, \ldots\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the moments $B_{n}$ are defined by

$$
B_{n}(x, \boldsymbol{t})=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{n p^{\prime}} f\left(x, p^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{t}\right) \mathrm{d} p^{\prime}
$$

It is simple to verify that the two constructions, (5) and (9), give the same system of partial differential equations for the moments $B_{n}$, for example,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial B_{n}}{\partial t_{1}}+\frac{\partial B_{n+1}}{\partial x}+n B_{n} \frac{\partial B_{0}}{\partial x}=0 \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

These can be lifted to the simplest of (9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{p} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial B_{0}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now consider (4) as an asymptotic series, valid as $p \rightarrow+\infty$, of an analytic function, which we will also call $\lambda(p)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(p)=\mathrm{e}^{p}+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{p} f\left(x, p^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{t}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{p}-\mathrm{e}^{p^{\prime}}} \mathrm{d} p^{\prime} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This function is analytic in the strips

$$
J_{k}=\{p \mid \operatorname{Im}(p) \in(2 k \pi,(2 k+2) \pi), k \in \mathbb{Z}\}
$$

As $\lambda(p)$ is clearly periodic with period $2 \pi \mathrm{i}$, we need to consider only the lower half of $J_{0}:\{p \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0<\operatorname{Im}(p) \leqslant \pi\}$ and the upper half of $J_{-1}:\{p \in \mathbb{C} \mid-\pi \leqslant \operatorname{Im}(p)<0\}$. We denote the restriction of $\lambda$ to these two regions by $\lambda_{+}$and $\lambda_{-}$respectively. The discontinuity across $\operatorname{Im} p=0$ is given by $\lambda_{+}-\lambda_{-}=-2 \pi \mathrm{i} f$. Following [9,10], with small modification of the contour, we obtain the boundary value of $\lambda_{+}$as $p$ approaches the real axis from above

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{+}(p) & =\mathrm{e}^{p}+\int_{\Lambda} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{p} f\left(x, p^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{t}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{p}-\mathrm{e}^{p^{\prime}}} \mathrm{d} p^{\prime} \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{p}+P \int \frac{\mathrm{e}^{p} f\left(x, p^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{t}\right)}{\mathrm{e}^{p}-\mathrm{e}^{p^{\prime}}} \mathrm{d} p^{\prime}-\mathrm{i} \pi f \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is an indented contour passing below the point $p$ and $P \int$ denotes the Cauchy principal value of the integral. We require that $f$ should satisfy a Hölder condition, and that it tends to zero as $|p| \rightarrow \infty$ sufficiently rapidly. A straightforward calculation shows that if $f$ satisfies (11) then $\lambda_{+}(p)$ satisfies

$$
\frac{\partial \lambda_{+}}{\partial t_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{p} \frac{\partial \lambda_{+}}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial \lambda_{+}}{\partial p}\left\{\frac{\partial p}{\partial t_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial B_{0}}{\partial x}\right\} .
$$

It follows that the equation for the inverse function $p\left(\lambda_{+}, x, t\right)$ at constant $\lambda_{+}$is

$$
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t_{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\mathrm{e}^{p}+B_{0}\right)=0
$$

while, at constant $p$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \lambda_{+}}{\partial t_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{p} \frac{\partial \lambda_{+}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial B_{0}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \lambda_{+}}{\partial p}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is of course the $t_{1}$ flow in (5) $\dagger$.

### 2.1. The Benney hierarchy

The Benney hierarchy [1] is constructed in a very similar way; its equations of motion can be obtained through

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial T_{n}}+\left\{\left(\frac{\Lambda^{n}}{n}\right)_{+}, \Lambda\right\}_{P, X}=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]but with the generating function defined by
$$
\Lambda(P)=P+\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_{i} P^{-(i+1)}
$$

The symbol ( ) now denotes the polynomial part in $P$. A Vlasov equation [6], analogous to (11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f^{\prime}}{\partial T_{2}}+P \frac{\partial f^{\prime}}{\partial X}-\frac{\partial A_{0}}{\partial X} \frac{\partial f^{\prime}}{\partial P}=0 \quad f^{\prime}=f^{\prime}(X, P, \boldsymbol{T}) \quad \boldsymbol{T}=\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, \ldots\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives rise to the moment equations

$$
\frac{\partial A_{n}}{\partial T_{2}}+\frac{\partial A_{n+1}}{\partial X}+n A_{n-1} \frac{\partial A_{0}}{\partial X}=0 \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

where we have defined the moments to be $A_{n}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P^{n} f^{\prime} \mathrm{d} P$. The two systems are related due to the following theorem [11].

Theorem 2.1. By setting $\mathrm{e}^{p}+B_{0}=P, t_{1}=X, t_{2}=-T_{2}$ in the $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ flows of the $\mathrm{d} \Delta \mathrm{KP}$ hierarchy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{p} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial B_{0}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_{2}}+\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 p}+B_{0} \mathrm{e}^{p}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}-\left(\mathrm{e}^{p} \frac{\partial B_{0}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial B_{1}}{\partial x}+B_{0} \frac{\partial B_{0}}{\partial x}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial p}=0 \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

eliminating the $x$-derivatives and setting $f(x, p, \boldsymbol{t})=f^{\prime}(X, P, \boldsymbol{T}), f^{\prime}$ will satisfy (16).
Proof. We see that

$$
A_{0}=\int f^{\prime} \mathrm{d} P=\int f^{\prime} \frac{\mathrm{d} P}{\mathrm{~d} p} \mathrm{~d} p=\int f \mathrm{e}^{p} \mathrm{~d} p=B_{1}
$$

By eliminating the $x$-derivative from equations (17), we have

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_{2}}+\left(\mathrm{e}^{p}+B_{0}\right)\left(-\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_{1}}+\frac{\partial B_{0}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p}\right)-\left(\mathrm{e}^{p} \frac{\partial B_{0}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial B_{1}}{\partial x}+B_{0} \frac{\partial B_{0}}{\partial x}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial p}=0
$$

Substituting $\mathrm{e}^{p}+B_{0}=P$ in the above, we have a simpler equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_{2}}-P \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_{1}}-\frac{\partial B_{1}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p}=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_{2}}\right|_{p}=-\left.\frac{\partial f^{\prime}}{\partial T_{2}}\right|_{P}+\frac{\partial f^{\prime}}{\partial P} \frac{\partial B_{0}}{\partial t_{2}} \\
& \left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_{1}}\right|_{p}=\left.\frac{\partial f^{\prime}}{\partial X}\right|_{P}+\frac{\partial f^{\prime}}{\partial P} \frac{\partial B_{0}}{\partial t_{1}} \\
& \left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}\right|_{t_{2}, t_{1}}=\left.\frac{\partial f^{\prime}}{\partial P}\right|_{T, X}\left(P-B_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and eliminating $x$-derivatives of the moments $B_{i}$ by using the moment equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial B_{0}}{\partial t_{1}}+\frac{\partial B_{1}}{\partial x}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial B_{1}}{\partial t_{1}}+\frac{\partial B_{2}}{\partial x}+B_{1} \frac{\partial B_{0}}{\partial x}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial B_{0}}{\partial t_{2}}+\frac{\partial B_{2}}{\partial x}+B_{0} \frac{\partial B_{1}}{\partial x}+B_{1} \frac{\partial B_{0}}{\partial x}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

in the equation (18), the result follows.
Reductions of the hierarchy (5) can be classified in the same way as reductions of hierarchy (15) [9]. The reduction will again correspond to a univalent conformal mapping of a fixed domain, such as the half-plane, into a domain with $N$ slits, and the Riemann invariants are the end points of the slits. These reduced systems are all semi-Hamiltonian, so can be solved by the hodograph transformation. In fact it is possible to use the approach of Geogdzhaev to solve them explicitly; we aim to illustrate this by looking at one important example of this in detail.

## 3. The 'waterbag' reduction

One important reduction is the so-called 'waterbag' model; this reduction is valid for any Vlasov equation. In the simplest case, the distribution function is taken to be piecewise constant on same region of phase space. The region is advected along the characteristics of the Vlasov equation, which are the solutions of Hamilton's equations. The area of the region is thus constant, and the region moves like a bag full of incompressible fluid; hence the name. It is of course sufficient to follow the boundary of the region, as the distribution remains constant inside it. Thus, we set, for $k=1, \ldots, N$,

$$
f= \begin{cases}F_{k} & p \in\left(p_{k}(x, t), p_{k+1}(x, t)\right) \\ 0 & \text { elsewhere }\end{cases}
$$

where $p_{k} \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x, t$ with $p_{i}<p_{j}$ for distinct $i<j$; and $F_{k}$ are constants. On substituting this ansatz into (11), we see that $f$ satisfies (11) if $p_{k}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p_{j}}{\partial t}+\mathrm{e}^{p_{j}} \frac{\partial p_{j}}{\partial x}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} F_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(p_{(k+1, k)}\right)=0 \quad p_{(k+1, k)}=p_{k+1}-p_{k} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note the moments

$$
B_{0}=\sum_{k=1}^{N} F_{k} p_{(k+1, k)}
$$

and

$$
B_{m}=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{m} F_{k}\left(\mathrm{e}^{m p_{k+1}}-\mathrm{e}^{m p_{k}}\right)
$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \neq 0$ here. The generating function is of the form

$$
\lambda(p)=\mathrm{e}^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} F_{k} \int_{\tilde{\Lambda}_{k}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{p}}{\mathrm{e}^{p}-\mathrm{e}^{p^{\prime}}} \mathrm{d} p^{\prime}
$$

where $\tilde{\Lambda}_{k}, k=1, \ldots, N$ are the segments of real axis with an indentation below the point $p$. This, after integration, is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda(p)=\mathrm{e}^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} F_{(k, k-1)} \ln \left(\mathrm{e}^{-p}-\mathrm{e}^{-p_{k}}\right)-F_{N} \ln \left(\mathrm{e}^{-p}-\mathrm{e}^{-p_{N+1}}\right)  \tag{20}\\
& F_{0}=0 \quad F_{(k, k-1)}=F_{k}-F_{k-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Its branch cuts are taken along the real intervals

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{\left[p_{2 i-1}, p_{2 i}\right] \cup\left[p_{N+1}, \infty\right)} & i=1, \ldots, N / 2 & \\
{\left[p_{2 i-1}, p_{2 i}\right]} & i=1, \ldots,(N+1) / 2 & \\
N \text { even } \\
& & =\text { odd. }
\end{array}
$$



Figure 1. Sketch graph of $f$.
$\lambda$ has asymptotics (4) as $p \rightarrow+\infty$. In addition, $\lambda(p)$ is periodic of period $2 \pi \mathrm{i}$. To reduce the system to Riemann invariant form, we look at

$$
\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t_{n}}+\frac{\partial H_{n}}{\partial p} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial H_{n}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial p}=0
$$

We see that at any point $\left(\hat{p}_{i}, \hat{\lambda}_{i}\right)$, where $\left.\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial p}\right|_{p=\hat{p}_{i}}=0$, the equation can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \lambda\left(\hat{p}_{i}\right)}{\partial t_{n}}+\mu_{n}\left(\hat{p}_{i}\right) \frac{\partial \lambda\left(\hat{p}_{i}\right)}{\partial x}=0 \quad \mu_{n}(p)=\frac{\partial H_{n}}{\partial p} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Provided that the number of parameters on which $\lambda(p)$ depends is the same as the number of these Riemann invariants, this may then be solved by the hodograph transformation [16, 17]. The characteristic speeds of this system are $\mu_{n}\left(\hat{p}_{i}\right)$ and Riemann invariants $\lambda\left(\hat{p}_{i}\right)$. We call the $\hat{p}_{i}$ the characteristic momenta.

Here, in the case of the waterbag reduction, for $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial p}$ to have $N+1$ distinct real roots $\hat{p}_{i}$, we assume that all $F_{i} \geqslant 0$ for $i=0, \ldots, N-2$ and for the first $m$ of $0<m<n<N, F_{k}$ are increasing and then decreasing for $k \in[n, N]$ as in figure 1. The Riemann invariants $\hat{\lambda}_{i}$ are the values of $\lambda$ at the points $\hat{p}_{i}$.

## 4. The initial value problem

In the previous section, it has been shown that the equations can be reduced to a diagonal system of hydrodynamic type, by considering the points $p=\hat{p}_{i}$ where $\left.\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial p}\right|_{p=\hat{p}_{i}}=0$. For this system to be hyperbolic, the characteristic speeds must be real and distinct. Since the characteristic speeds are polynomials in $\mathrm{e}^{\hat{p}_{i}}$ and moments $B_{k}$, and as the $B_{k}$ are real, it sufficient to impose the condition that $\mathrm{e}^{\hat{p}_{i}}$ must be real for all $i$.

Consider the map $\lambda(p, x)$, defined by

$$
\lambda(p, x)=\mathrm{e}^{p}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} F_{(k, k-1)} \ln \left(\mathrm{e}^{-p}-\mathrm{e}^{-p_{k}}\right)-F_{N} \ln \left(\mathrm{e}^{-p}-\mathrm{e}^{-p_{N+1}}\right) .
$$

It is periodic of period $2 \pi \mathrm{i}$ and analytic in a region $J_{k}$ of the $p$-plane, bounded by the straight lines $\operatorname{Im}(p)=2 k \pi$ and $\operatorname{Im}(p)=(2 k+2) \pi$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Each $J_{k}$ is mapped conformally to a copy of the $\lambda$-plane $M_{k}$. This map is not univalent though. However, it is univalent in the strip on $J_{0}$

$$
\Gamma_{p}=\{p \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0<\operatorname{Im}(p) \leqslant \pi\}
$$



Figure 2. $\operatorname{Graph}$ of $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda(p))$.

We know that $\lambda(p)$ has branch cuts along the segment of the real axis and that for $F_{i} \geqslant 0, i=$ $1, \ldots, N$ the graph of $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda(p))$ is as in figure 2 , while for $i=1, \ldots, N$,

$$
\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)= \begin{cases}-\pi F_{i} & p \in\left[p_{i}(x, t), p_{i+1}(x, t)\right] \\ 0 & \text { elsewhere. }\end{cases}
$$

We conclude that the image of $\Gamma_{p}$ is

$$
\Gamma_{\lambda}=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im}(\lambda) \geqslant-\pi \sum_{k=1}^{N} F_{k}\right\} \subset M_{0}
$$

An example of this is illustrated in figure 3. The image of the strip $\operatorname{Im}(p) \in[\pi, 2 \pi)$ is the mirror image of this, by periodicity and the Schwarz reflection principle-the two image regions are joined along $\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$.

Lemma 4.1. $\lambda(p)$, defined by (20), maps the strip $\Gamma_{p}$ univalently and conformally to $\Gamma_{\lambda}$. Thus, for fixed $x$, an inverse function $p(\lambda)$ is well defined in the region $\Gamma_{\lambda}=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im}(\lambda) \geqslant$ $\left.-\pi \sum_{k=1}^{N} F_{k}\right\}$. This inverse mapping is discontinuous along the union of horizontal slits $\Sigma=\left(\cup_{i=1}^{m}\left(-\infty, \hat{\lambda}_{i}\right]\right) \bigcup\left(\cup_{j=m+1}^{N+1}\left[\hat{\lambda}_{j},+\infty\right)\right)$, but analytic throughout domain $D=\Gamma_{\lambda}-\Sigma$.

We seek a transformation from the canonical variables ( $x, p$ ) to new canonical variables ( $\eta, \lambda$ ), such that the new momentum $\lambda$ is constant on the characteristics. A generating function $S$ for such a transformation, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x, \lambda)=\int_{x_{0}}^{x} p\left(x^{\prime}, \lambda, t\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\prime} \quad x_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

was constructed [4]. We suppose that $p(x, \lambda, t)$ tends, as $x \rightarrow x_{0}$, to some $x$-independent asymptotic value $p_{0}(\lambda)=p\left(x_{0}, \lambda, t\right)$. The transformation is then defined implicitly by

$$
\begin{align*}
p(x, \lambda) & =\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}  \tag{23}\\
\eta(x, \lambda) & =\frac{\partial S}{\partial \lambda} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 3. Example of $p$ plane and contour $\Gamma$ for the case $(2,2)$.
while the new Hamiltonian is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}(\eta, \lambda)=H_{n}(x, p)+\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formula (22) is clearly just the $x$-integral of (23); further, since

$$
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t_{n}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x} H_{n}(p, x)=0
$$

we obtain $K_{n}(\eta, \lambda)=H_{n}\left(p_{0}(\lambda)\right)=H_{n}\left(p_{0}(\lambda(x, p))\right)$; the new coordinate $\eta$ conjugate to $\lambda$ is given by (24)

$$
\eta=\frac{\partial S}{\partial \lambda}=\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda} \mathrm{~d} x^{\prime}
$$

With the choice of boundary conditions above, $K_{n}(\eta, \lambda)$ will be independent of $\eta$, that is, $K_{n}(\eta, \lambda)=K(\lambda)$. The canonical equations are generated by $K(\lambda)$ in the usual way $\dagger$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d} \lambda}{\mathrm{~d} t_{n}}=-\frac{\partial K(\lambda)}{\partial \eta}=0 \\
& \frac{\mathrm{~d} \eta}{\mathrm{~d} t_{n}}=\frac{\partial K(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda}=\text { constant. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\dagger$ For brevity, the time dependence of all functions will be omitted hereafter.

As a result of the construction, $p(x, \lambda)$ has $N+1$ branch points $\hat{\lambda}_{k}$, and the branch cuts are taken from $-\infty$ to $\hat{\lambda}_{i}$ and from $\hat{\lambda}_{j}$ to $+\infty$, where $i=1, \ldots, m, j=m+1, \ldots, N+1$. Since $p(x, \lambda)$ is a function of $x, t_{n}$ as well as $\lambda$, the Riemann invariants are also functions of $x$ and $t_{n}$. We now suppose that $\hat{\lambda}_{i}(x)$ are strictly monotonically increasing functions of $x$, while $\hat{\lambda}_{j}(x)$ are strictly monotonically decreasing functions of $x$, that is, we require

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}_{i}}{\partial x}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}_{j}}{\partial x}<0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Now, $p(x, \lambda)$ is analytic everywhere inside the domain $\Gamma_{\lambda}$. On the boundary $\Gamma$, it is analytic on $\gamma_{\mathrm{c}}$, and real on $\gamma_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N+1$ and $\gamma_{F}$. On the cuts $\hat{\gamma}_{k}$, it is either analytic for all $x$ or there exists some unique value $x^{*}(\lambda)$, such that $\hat{\lambda}_{k}\left(x^{*}(\lambda)\right)=\lambda$. Then for $x>x^{*}(\lambda), p(x, \lambda)$ is real on $\hat{\gamma}_{i}, i \neq 1$ and on $\hat{\gamma}_{1}$ for $x>x^{*}(\lambda)$ with $\lambda>0$. At the branch points $\hat{\lambda}_{k}$, if $\left.\frac{\partial^{2} \lambda}{\partial p^{2}}\right|_{p=\hat{p}_{k}} \neq 0, p(x, \lambda)$ has the behaviour

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\hat{p}_{k}+\mathrm{O}\left(\left(\lambda-\hat{\lambda}_{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

since, at the turning points $\hat{p}_{k}$, Taylor's expansion gives

$$
\lambda=\hat{\lambda}_{k}+\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \lambda}{\partial p^{2}}\right|_{p=\hat{p}_{k}}\left(p-\hat{p}_{k}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{O}\left(\left(p-\hat{p}_{k}\right)^{3}\right) .
$$

Lemma 4.2. If the Riemann invariants $\hat{\lambda}_{i}$ satisfy the monotonicity conditions above (equation (26)), then the region of analyticity of $p(x, \lambda), D=D(x)$ as in lemma 4.1, satisfies $D\left(x_{1}\right) \subset D\left(x_{2}\right)$ for $x_{1}>x_{2}$.

Corollary 4.1. The region of analyticity of $S(x, \lambda)$ is

$$
\bigcap_{x_{0} \leqslant x^{\prime} \leqslant x} D\left(x^{\prime}\right)=D(x)
$$

Now on $\hat{\gamma}_{i}, i \neq 1$, for $x>x^{*}(\lambda), p(x, \lambda)$ is real, and for $x>x^{*}(\lambda)$, with $\lambda>0$ on $\hat{\gamma}_{1}$, the imaginary part of $S(x, \lambda)$ is then given by

$$
\operatorname{Im}(S)=\int_{x_{0}}^{x^{*}(\lambda)} \operatorname{Im}\left(p\left(x^{\prime}, \lambda\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\prime}
$$

Integrating (27) with respect to $x$, at the branch points $\hat{\lambda}_{k}$, and using $\frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}_{k}}{\partial x} \neq 0$, an expansion of $S$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S_{k}+\mathrm{O}\left(\left(\lambda-\hat{\lambda}_{k}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty, p$ has asymptotics $\ln \lambda+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)$, and so $S \sim\left(x-x_{0}\right) \ln \lambda+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)$ as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$. Following [7], we define functions $\Omega(x, \lambda), \Xi(x, \lambda)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega(x, \lambda)=S(x, \lambda)-K(\lambda) t_{n}  \tag{29}\\
& \Xi(x, \lambda)=\Omega(x, \lambda)+H_{n}(x, p) t_{n}-\left(x-x_{0}\right) p(x, \lambda) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Since as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty, \Omega \sim\left(x-x_{0}\right) \ln \lambda-K(\lambda) t_{n}+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)$, it follows that $\Xi \sim \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)$ as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$.
Now $\operatorname{Im}(\Omega)$ is independent of time on the cuts, because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t_{n}} & =\frac{\partial S}{\partial t_{n}}-K(\lambda) \\
& =-H_{n}(x, p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $x>x^{*}(\lambda), p(x, \lambda)$ is real on the cuts; $H_{n}(x, p)$ is real if $p$ is, so we have $\frac{\partial \operatorname{Im}(\Omega(x, \lambda))}{\partial t_{n}}=0$. We also see that $\operatorname{Im}(\Xi(x, \lambda))=\operatorname{Im}(\Omega(x, \lambda))$ on the cut. Further, on the cuts $\hat{\gamma}_{k}$ for
$x>x^{*}(\lambda), \Xi(x, \lambda)$ is real; we know that on $\hat{\gamma}_{i}, i \neq 1$, for $x>x^{*}(\lambda), p(x, \lambda)$ is real and so is $\Xi(x, \lambda)$. Now consider the cut $\left(-\infty, \hat{\lambda}_{1}\right]$ for $\lambda<0$ at $t_{n}=0$, we see that $\left.\operatorname{Im}(\Xi(x, \lambda))\right|_{\hat{\gamma}_{1}}=$ $\operatorname{Im}(\Omega(x, \lambda))-\left(x-x_{0}\right) \operatorname{Im} p(x, \lambda)$. This is zero since $\left.\operatorname{Im}(\Omega(x, \lambda))\right|_{\hat{\gamma}_{1}}=\pi\left(x-x_{0}\right)$ and $\left.\operatorname{Im}(p)\right|_{\hat{\gamma}_{1}}=\pi$ for $\lambda \in(-\infty, 0]$.

Theorem 4.1. The solution of the reduced equations of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \lambda\left(\hat{p}_{k}\right)}{\partial t_{n}}+\mu_{n}\left(\hat{p}_{k}\right) \frac{\partial \lambda\left(\hat{p}_{k}\right)}{\partial x}=0 \quad k=1, \ldots, N+1 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be expressed in terms of the hodograph equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x-x_{0}-\mu_{n}\left(\hat{p}_{k}\right) t_{n}=-\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} P \int_{\hat{\gamma}_{i}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\hat{p}_{k}}}{\mathrm{e}^{p(x, \lambda)}-\mathrm{e}^{\hat{p}_{k}}} \mathrm{~d}(\operatorname{Im}(\Omega)) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\left.\operatorname{Im}(\Omega)\right|_{t_{n}=0}=\int_{x_{0}}^{x^{*}(\lambda)} \operatorname{Im}\left(p\left(x^{\prime}, \lambda, 0\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\prime}
$$

Proof. Let $\partial D=\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \hat{\gamma}_{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \gamma_{i}+\gamma_{F}+\gamma_{c}$ be our contour; by construction, $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$ is analytic inside $\Gamma$; we then consider the following integral:

$$
\Xi\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \oint_{\Gamma} Q\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \Xi(x, \lambda) \mathrm{d} \lambda
$$

where

$$
Q\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{p(x, \lambda)}}{\mathrm{e}^{p(x, \lambda)}-\mathrm{e}^{p\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}} \frac{\partial p(x, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} .
$$

Letting $\lambda^{\prime}$ approach $\hat{\gamma}_{k}$, indenting the contour, and denoting $\sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \gamma_{i}+\gamma_{F}+\gamma_{\mathrm{c}}$ by $\Gamma_{\gamma}$, we obtain
$\Xi\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma}} Q\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \Xi(x, \lambda) \mathrm{d} \lambda+\frac{1}{\pi \mathrm{i}} \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} P \int_{\hat{\gamma}_{k}} Q\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \Xi(x, \lambda) \mathrm{d} \lambda$.
Collecting the real parts on both sides, equation (33) becomes
$\operatorname{Re}\left(\Xi\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} P \int_{\hat{\gamma}_{k}} \operatorname{Re}\left(Q\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\right) \operatorname{Im}(\Xi(x, \lambda)) \mathrm{d} \lambda$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma}} \operatorname{Im}\left(Q\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\right) \operatorname{Re}(\Xi(x, \lambda)) \mathrm{d} \lambda+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma}} \operatorname{Re}\left(Q\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\right) \operatorname{Im}(\Xi(x, \lambda)) \mathrm{d} \lambda \\
& +\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} P \int_{\hat{\gamma}_{k}} \operatorname{Im}\left(Q\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\right) \operatorname{Re}(\Xi(x, \lambda)) \mathrm{d} \lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $p(x, \lambda)$ is real except on the curve $\gamma_{\mathrm{c}}$, it follows that $Q\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is real $\dagger$ on $\gamma_{F}+\sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \gamma_{i}+$ $\sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \hat{\gamma}_{k}$. Now on $\gamma_{F}, p(x, \lambda)$ is always real for all $x ; \Xi(x, \lambda)$ will also be real, so the integral vanishes here. On either $\gamma_{i}$ or $\gamma_{j}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m, j=m+1, \ldots, N+1, \Xi(x, \lambda)$ is like

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\Xi(x, \lambda)\right|_{\gamma_{i}}=\left.\Omega(x, \lambda)\right|_{\gamma_{i}}-\left(x-x_{0}\right) p_{i}+H_{n}\left(x, p_{i}\right) t_{n} \\
& \left.\Xi(x, \lambda)\right|_{\gamma_{j}}=\left.\Omega(x, \lambda)\right|_{\gamma_{j}}-\left(x-x_{0}\right) p_{j}+H_{n}\left(x, p_{j}\right) t_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

since, in the vicinity of $p_{i}\left(p_{j}\right), p$ can be expressed as $p_{i}+\mathrm{O}(\exp \{+\lambda\})\left(p_{j}+\mathrm{O}(\exp \{-\lambda\})\right)$, so $p$ behaves like $p_{i}\left(p_{j}\right)$ on $\gamma_{i}\left(\gamma_{j}\right)$. This implies that $\Xi(x, \lambda)$ is real on either $\gamma_{i}$ or $\gamma_{j}$. On $\dagger$ For $x>x^{*}$ on $\hat{\gamma}_{k}, k=1, \ldots, N+1$.
the the arcs enclosing the cuts $\hat{\gamma}_{k}$, since $p(x, \lambda)$ is real for $x>x^{*}, Q\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is also real, but $\Xi(x, \lambda)$ has a nonzero imaginary part in the intervals

$$
\left[\hat{\lambda}_{i}\left(x_{0}\right), \hat{\lambda}_{i}\left(x^{*}\right)\right] \cup\left[\hat{\lambda}_{j}\left(x^{*}\right), \hat{\lambda}_{j}\left(x_{0}\right)\right] .
$$

Therefore, we obtain
$\operatorname{Re}\left(\Xi\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} P \int_{\hat{\gamma}_{k}} Q\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{Im}(\Xi(x, \lambda)) \mathrm{d} \lambda$

$$
+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\gamma_{\mathrm{c}}} \operatorname{Im}\left(Q\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\right) \operatorname{Re}(\Xi(x, \lambda)) \mathrm{d} \lambda+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\gamma_{\mathrm{c}}} \operatorname{Re}\left(Q\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\right) \operatorname{Im}(\Xi(x, \lambda)) \mathrm{d} \lambda .
$$

Differentiating with respect to $\lambda^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \operatorname{Re}\left(\Xi\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\partial \lambda^{\prime}} & =\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} P \int_{\hat{\gamma}_{k}} \frac{\partial Q\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \lambda^{\prime}} \operatorname{Im}(\Xi(x, \lambda)) \mathrm{d} \lambda \\
& +\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\gamma_{\mathrm{c}}} \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im}\left(Q\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\partial \lambda^{\prime}} \operatorname{Re}(\Xi(x, \lambda)) \mathrm{d} \lambda \\
& +\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\gamma_{\mathrm{c}}} \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re}\left(Q\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\partial \lambda^{\prime}} \operatorname{Im}(\Xi(x, \lambda)) \mathrm{d} \lambda \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Now since

$$
Q\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{p(x, \lambda)}}{\mathrm{e}^{p(x, \lambda)}-\mathrm{e}^{p\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}} \mathrm{d} p
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial Q\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \lambda^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} \lambda & =\frac{\partial p\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \lambda^{\prime}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{p(x, \lambda)+p\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}}{\left(\mathrm{e}^{p(x, \lambda)}-\mathrm{e}^{p\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} p \\
& =\frac{\partial p\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \lambda^{\prime}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{p\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}}{\mathrm{e}^{p(x, \lambda)}-\mathrm{e}^{p\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}}\right) \mathrm{d} p
\end{aligned}
$$

equation (34) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \operatorname{Re}\left(\Xi\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\partial \lambda^{\prime}} & =\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} P \int_{\hat{\gamma}_{k}} Q^{\prime}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im}(\Xi(x, \lambda))}{\partial \lambda} \mathrm{d} \lambda \\
& +\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\gamma_{c}} \operatorname{Im}\left(Q^{\prime}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\right) \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re}(\Xi(x, \lambda))}{\partial \lambda} \mathrm{d} \lambda \\
& +\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\gamma_{c}} \operatorname{Re}\left(Q^{\prime}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\right) \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im}(\Xi(x, \lambda))}{\partial \lambda} \mathrm{d} \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
Q^{\prime}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{p\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}}{\mathrm{e}^{p(x, \lambda)}-\mathrm{e}^{p\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}} \frac{\partial p\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \lambda^{\prime}}
$$

The integrals on $\gamma_{\mathrm{c}}$ must vanish as $Q^{\prime}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ and $\frac{\partial \Xi(x, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda}$ are both $\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)$ as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$. Taking the fact that $\operatorname{Im}(\Xi)=\operatorname{Im}(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \Omega\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \lambda^{\prime}} & +\left\{\frac{\partial H_{n}\left(x, p\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\partial p} t_{n}-\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right\} \frac{\partial p\left(x, \lambda^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \lambda^{\prime}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} P \int_{\hat{\gamma}_{k}} Q^{\prime}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d}(\operatorname{Im}(\Omega)) \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Write $\mu_{n}(p)=\frac{\partial H_{n}(x, p)}{\partial p}$, and let $\lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_{k}, \frac{\partial \lambda^{\prime}}{\partial p} \rightarrow 0$. Now $\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial p}$ is bounded at $\hat{\lambda}_{k}$, since, by using equation (28), we see that $\Omega$ is like $\Omega_{k}+\mathrm{O}\left(\left(\lambda-\hat{\lambda}_{k}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ at the branch points. Moreover, $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial p}=\mathrm{O}\left(\left(\lambda-\hat{\lambda}_{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ near $\hat{\lambda}_{k}$. It follows that $\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \lambda^{\prime}}$ is bounded as $\lambda \rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_{k}$, and hence $\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial p^{\prime}}$ must vanish at the branch points $\hat{\lambda}_{k}$. We finally obtain, on dividing (35) by $\frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda}$ and evaluating at the branch points, the stated result
$x-x_{0}-\mu_{n}\left(\hat{p}_{k}\right) t_{n}=-\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} P \int_{\hat{\gamma}_{i}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\hat{p}_{k}}}{\mathrm{e}^{p(x, \lambda)}-\mathrm{e}^{\hat{p}_{k}}} \mathrm{~d}(\operatorname{Im}(\Omega)) \quad i=1, \ldots, N+1$.

This is the solution of the initial value problem. The $N$-waterbag reduction of the $\mathrm{d} \Delta \mathrm{KP}$ hierarchy is a system of hydrodynamic type with $N+1$ dependent variables. With our solution (36), we see that the left-hand side is in the form of Tsarev's hodograph solution (7), while the right-hand side contains an integral. The kernel $Q^{\prime}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ of this integral is the generating function for the characteristic speeds of commuting flows $\mu_{m}\left(\hat{p}_{k}\right)$, which solve an overdetermined system of partial differential equations equivalent to (8). This kernel is the same for any reduction of the hierarchy. We note here that the solution of this reduction is similar in structure to the solution of the dispersionless Toda equations given by Kodama [11], especially the kernel $Q^{\prime}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$. However, the contours $\gamma_{i}$ depend explicitly on the reduction; indeed, reductions can be parametrized by a choice of these contours. Finally, the measure $\mathrm{d}(\operatorname{Im}(\Omega))$ depends explicitly on the initial data.

## References

[1] Benney D J 1973 Some properties of long non-linear waves Stud. Appl. Math. 5245
[2] Flaschka H 1974 On Toda lattice II Prog. Theor. Phys. 51703
[3] Flaschka H 1974 The Toda lattice II. Existence of integrals Phys. Rev. B 99
[4] Geogjaev V V 1985 Quasiclassical approximation in the inverse scattering problem. Sov. Phys.-Dokl. 30840
[5] Geogjaev V V 1994 The quasiclassical limit of the inverse scattering problem method Singular Limits of Dispersive Waves (NATO ASI Series B 320) ed N Ercolani et al (New York: Plenum) p 53
[6] Gibbons J 1981 Collisionless Boltzmann equations and integrable moment equations Physica D 3503
[7] Gibbons J and Kodama Y 1994 Solving dispersionless Lax equations Singular Limits of Dispersive Waves (NATO ASI Series B 320) ed N Ercolani et al (New York: Plenum) p 61
[8] Gibbons J and Kupershmidt B A 1991 Relativistic analogs of basic integrable systems Integrable and Superintegrable System ed B A Kupershmidt (Singapore: World Scientific)
[9] Gibbons J and Tsarev S P 1996 Reductions of Benney's equations Phys. Lett. A 21119
[10] Gibbons J and Tsarev S P 1999 Conformal maps and reductions of the Benney equations Phys. Lett. A 258263
[11] Kodama Y 1990 Solution of the dispersionless Toda equation Phys. Lett. A 147477
[12] Kupershmidt B A 1985 Discrete Lax equations and differential-difference calculus Astérisque $\mathbf{1 2 3} 212$
[13] Toda M 1975 Studies of a nonlinear lattice Phys. Rep. 18c 1
[14] Toda M 1989 Nonlinear Waves and Solitons (London: Kluwer)
[15] Toda M 1989 Theory of Nonlinear Lattices (Berlin: Springer)
[16] Tsarev S P 1985 On Poisson brackets and one-dimensional Hamiltonian systems of hydrodynamic type Sov. Math.-Dokl. 31488
[17] Whitham G B 1973 Linear and Nonlinear Waves (New York: Wiley)


[^0]:    $\dagger$ We will use $\lambda$ to denote $\lambda_{+}$hereafter.

